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Data is the new gold. “We have a huge goldmine ... Let’s start mining it.”
Neelie Kroes, Vice-President of the European Commission responsible for the Digital Agenda
But...

• **Gold** is precious because
  – it is rare
  – it does not combine with other elements
  – it does not perish

• **Data** is valuable because
  – there is so much of it
  – it is more valuable when it is combined together
  – it is FAR from imperishable
Data & Knowledge Preservation

• The preservation of data (the “bytes”) is useless without the preservation of the knowledge associated with the data (e.g. the “quality”, the process to generate them).

• We must:
  - Ensure, enhance and facilitate archived data accessibility.
    - Allowing to combine data from different sources and to perform more complex analyses (data unfamiliar become familiar)
  - Ensure coherency of approaches among different Earth Science providers.
Example of Earth Science data use: Arctic sea ice melting
• ESA is coordinating the LTDP cooperation activities in the Earth Observation domain with European partners through the LTDP WG formed within the Ground Segment Coordination Body (GSCB). Main goals:

1. Preserve the European EO space data set for an unlimited time-span.

2. Ensure and facilitate accessibility and usability of preserved data sets respecting the individual entities applicable data policies.

3. Adopt a cooperative and harmonised collective approach among the data owners and archive holders, based on the application of European LTDP Common Guidelines and sustained through cooperative (multi-source) long term funding schemes.

4. Ensure, to the maximum extent, the coherency with the preservation of other non-space based environmental data and international policies.
- Implemented the basic rules of the European LTDP Framework in Earth Observation: “LTDP Common Guidelines and Preserved Data Set Content”

  ✓ Reflecting the consensus of the European EO Data providers.
  ✓ Reviewed at GEO, CEOS and with NASA.
  ✓ Being reviewed with QA4EO.
  ✓ LTDP workshops every two years to disseminate results within the EO/LTDP community

- Project recently re-approved: will continue for the next five years
• Defined the initial data set to be preserved, including the related glossary.

• Started several technical activities:
  
  ✓ LTDP User Requirements Study (FIRST)
  ✓ Archive Technology Study (LAST)
  ✓ LTDP Initiatives and Standards Survey
  ✓ LTDP/QA4EO Study
  ✓ LTDP Architecture Definition Project

• Guaranteed the information flow through workshops, web sites, participation to conferences and LTDP related events.
1. Preserved data set content
2. Archive operations and organization
3. Archive security
4. Data ingestion
5. Archive maintenance
6. Data access and interoperability
7. Data exploitation and re-processing
8. Data appraisal and purge prevention

• Institutional web site:
  ✓ http://earth.esa.int/gscb/ltdp/
The Earth Science context
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The Earth Science context

Earth Science can count 9 different data categories. Each of them has its own:

- Data preservation policies and approaches (if available)
- Metadata and data formats
- Data description and semantic
- Different also inside each category depending on the owner
Surveys

• Survey of earth science users to assess understanding of issues and level of expertise with respect to long-term data preservation

• Identify current existing and utilised:
  – Data preservation policies and guidelines
  – Metadata, semantic and ontology models
  – Technologies for data discovery, access, management and visualisation
More in detail

- System infrastructure and architecture
- Data discovery
- Data access
- Processing, knowledge, extraction and management
- Data preservation, technologies, policies and guidelines
- Metadata, semantics and ontologies
Thank you
Survey conclusions

- System infrastructure and architecture
  - Archive systems:
    - EO community: proprietary systems/ Others: open source e.g PostGres
    - Often based on tape archives / disk storage for rapid access to frequently used datasets
  - Users generally have a better understanding of the discovery and retrieval system used to access the data than the underlying archive services

- Data discovery
  - Metadata standards ISO19115/19119 widely used in the ES domain
  - Metadata harvesting methods include OpenSearch 1.1 and Open Archives Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH)
  - Earth Observation data also uses the Earth Observation Metadata profile of observations and measurements
Survey conclusions

• Data access
  • Web services are most commonly used for accessing an archive
  • Web based forms + FTP download or off-line ordering also used for very large data sets
  • Wide variety of portals currently in use for accessing different data types
  • Large cyber infrastructure projects also providing data access services e.g GEOSS, EarthCube

• Processing, knowledge extraction and management
  • Data analysis software separate from data discovery and access services
  • Data processing using a range of tools that are not domain specific
  • Limited number of file formats
  • Trend towards researchers using data beyond their own disciplines and from other geographic regions
Survey conclusions

• Data preservation, technologies, policies and guidelines
  – Archive service providers expect to retain data for up to 10 years
  – Data producers tend towards 5 to 10 years for retention of data
  – Stakeholders would like to retain data indefinitely but in reality this is between 10 and 20 years
  – Data preservation policies most common in EO domain and follow OAIS model and LTDP guidelines

• Metadata, semantics and ontologies
  – Main use is for querying and exchanging data
  – Many of the models are XML based
  – Majority are dealing with geoinformatics

• Findings and recommendations from WP15 and WP33 on data discovery and access will be made available to ES Community for further implementation.
  • Collaboration with other projects (e.g. GENESI-DEC, ENVRI).