



# Open e-IRG Workshop

## Breakout session on the e-Infrastructure Commons

Group [1 ...6]

**e-IRG**  
e-Infrastructure  
Reflection Group

# Procedure

**Time** 1 hour (14.00 – 15.00h)

**Make:** 6 groups of around 15 people each; selection by random colors on badges.

**Moderators:** Anni Hellman (Group1), Arjen van Rijn (Group2), Valentino Cavalli (Group3), Jan Wiebelitz (Group4), Rosette Vandenbroucke (Group5), Rossend Llurba (Group6);

**Notetakers:** will be appointed within the groups

## Tasks:

Every group needs to spend 30 minutes on the following three questions.

Each groups spends another 30 minutes on 'recommendations':

Current definition of the e-Infrastructure Commons:

*“An ecosystem of ICT services for scientific research, where users enjoy the freedom to choose the services they need from a mix of public e-Infrastructure and commercial services and where providers have the freedom to innovate.”*

# Question 1

What could we do to improve the concept of the e-infrastructure commons? And: what is the difference with the European Science Cloud?

# Question 2

What do you believe are essential elements of an e-infrastructure commons in terms of e-infrastructure services? What do we mean with ‘interoperable and integrated services’?

# Question 3

How should we coordinate that on the European level?  
What about a single coordinating 'European e-  
infrastructure organization'?

# Actors - Overview

1. National governments / research funding agencies
2. European Commission
3. National (public) e-infrastructure providers
4. European (public) e-infrastructure providers  
(organizations and projects)
5. European / international Research Communities (RIs)
6. Commercial e-infrastructure providers

# Recommendations to actor <n>

Assuming the 'e-infrastructure Commons' is a vision worth working towards, what would then be the group's recommendations to the various actors?

- Group 1: recommendations for actors 1 and 3;
- Group 2: recommendations for actors 2 and 4;
- Group 3: recommendations for actors 3 and 5;
- Group 4: recommendations for actors 4 and 6;
- Group 5: recommendations for actors 5 and 1;
- Group 6: recommendations for actors 6 and 2;



# Recommendations to actor <n>

Assuming the 'e-infrastructure Commons' is a vision worth working towards, what would then be the group's recommendations to the various actors?

- Group 1: recommendations for actors 1 and 3;
- Group 2: recommendations for actors 2 and 4;
- Group 3: recommendations for actors 3 and 5;
- Group 4: recommendations for actors 4 and 6;
- Group 5: recommendations for actors 5 and 1;
- Group 6: recommendations for actors 6 and 2;